

Research

Combination of p53AIP1 and survivin expression is a powerful prognostic marker in non-small cell lung cancer

Shin-ichi Yamashita*, Masao Chujo, Michiyo Miyawaki, Keita Tokuishi, Kentaro Anami, Satoshi Yamamoto and Katsunobu Kawahara

Address: Department of Surgery II, Faculty of Medicine, Oita University, 1-1 Idaigaoka, Hasama, Yufu, Oita, 879-5593, Japan

Email: Shin-ichi Yamashita* - yamashi1@med.oita-u.ac.jp; Masao Chujo - chujo@med.oita-u.ac.jp; Michiyo Miyawaki - miyawak@med.oita-u.ac.jp; Keita Tokuishi - m207056@med.oita-u.ac.jp; Kentaro Anami - k-anami@med.oita-u.ac.jp; Satoshi Yamamoto - s-yamamoto@med.oita-u.ac.jp; Katsunobu Kawahara - kkawahar@med.oita-u.ac.jp

* Corresponding author

Published: 19 February 2009

Received: 12 November 2008

Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research 2009, **28**:22 doi:10.1186/1756-9966-28-22

Accepted: 19 February 2009

This article is available from: <http://www.jeccr.com/content/28/1/22>

© 2009 Yamashita et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: p53AIP1 is a potential mediator of apoptosis depending on p53, which is mutated in many kinds of carcinoma. High survivin expression in non-small cell lung cancer is related with poor prognosis. To investigate the role of these genes in non-small cell lung cancer, we compared the relationship between p53AIP1 or survivin gene expression and the clinicopathological status of lung cancer.

Materials and methods: Forty-seven samples from non-small cell lung cancer patients were obtained between 1997 and 2003. For quantitative evaluation of RNA expression by PCR, we used Taqman PCR methods.

Results: Although no correlation between p53AIP1 or survivin gene expression and clinicopathological factors was found, the relationship between survivin gene expression and nodal status was significant ($p = 0.03$). Overall survival in the p53AIP1-negative group was significantly worse than in the positive group ($p = 0.04$); however, although survivin expression was not a prognostic factor, the combination of p53AIP1 and survivin was a significant prognostic predictor ($p = 0.04$). In the multivariate cox proportional hazard model, the combination was an independent predictor of overall survival (p53AIP1 (+) survivin (+), HR 0.21, 95%CI = [0.01–1.66]; p53AIP1 (+) survivin (-), HR 0.01, 95%CI = [0.002–0.28]; p53AIP1 (-) survivin (-), HR 0.01, 95%CI = [0.002–3.1], against p53AIP1 (-) survivin (+), $p = 0.03$).

Conclusion: These data suggest that the combination of p53AIP1 and survivin gene expression may be a powerful tool to stratify subgroups with better or worse prognosis from the variable non-small cell lung cancer population.

Introduction

A number of genes for apoptosis play an important role in tumorigenesis [1]. Several gene abnormalities were

reported as prognostic markers of non-small cell lung cancer, such as p53 [2]; however, these processes are complex and remain unclear.

The abnormal expression of p53 is frequently reported in a variety of cancers [3]. p53 mutations are generally more common in smokers than in nonsmokers and an excess of G to T transversions of p53 has been described as a molecular signature of tobacco smoke mutagens in smoking-associated lung cancers. There are also mutational hotspots (codons 157, 158, 245, 248, and 273) in the p53 gene in lung cancer [4]. Several reports have shown that p53 expression is a prognostic marker in non-small cell lung cancer [2]. p53 protein is a tumor suppressor gene and mediates cell cycle arrest or programmed cell death [5,6]. These p53-mediated events were triggered through the transactivation of specific genes, including p21, GADD45, cyclin G1, Bax, and fas [7,8].

Recently, we reported that p53AIP1, which is a new potential mediator of p53-dependent apoptosis, is associated with prognosis in non-small cell lung cancer [9]. p53AIP1 is not normally expressed in any tissues except the thymus, but is induced when Ser-46 of p53 was phosphorylated after severe DNA damage [10,11]. Only a few papers have reported p53AIP1 function in cancer biology and it has not been well investigated [9,12].

On the other hand, survivin is a member of the IAP gene family, which has been implicated in both the inhibition of apoptosis and mitosis regulation [13]. Survivin up-regulates genes in tumor tissues [14]. High survivin expression in the primary tumor is related to poor prognosis in many cancer types [15-20]. As p53 leads to the repression of survivin expression [21], p53 AIP1 might act inversely against survivin in the same manner as p53. It is interesting to evaluate both the expression of the p53AIP1 gene and survivin in primary non-small cell lung cancer.

In this study, we demonstrated the expression of these genes in non-small cell lung cancer and normal lung tissue, and the combination of p53AIP1 with survivin may be a prognostic marker.

Methods

Patients and Samples

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Hospital Organization Kumamoto Medical Center (Kumamoto, Japan) and all patients completed informed consent forms. Forty-seven operative samples from non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients were obtained at the National Hospital Organization Kumamoto Medical Center (Kumamoto, Japan) between May 1997 and September 2003. The samples were histologically diagnosed as primary non-small cell lung cancer according to the WHO classification. None of the cases had received radiation therapy or chemotherapy before surgery. Adjacent normal lung tissue was also taken from all cases. Tissue specimens were frozen immediately

with RNA later™ (QIAGEN) and stored at -80°C until RNA extraction. RNA from tissue samples was prepared using TRIzol reagents (Invitrogen). To evaluate cigarette consumption, a smoking index (SI) was used: cigarette consumption per day multiplied by smoking years. Referring to this index, smokers were divided into 2 groups, heavy smokers with indices ≥ 400 , and light smokers < 400 .

Quantitative PCR analysis

For quantitative evaluation of the RNA expression by PCR, we used Taqman PCR methods (TaqMan® Gene Expression Assays; Applied Biosystems, Tokyo, Japan) as previously reported [22]. The p53AIP1 gene was amplified by the following primer set as follows, reverse: ggggacttctcagtcgtgt, forward: tggacttcttcattgccccga.

The p53AIP1 gene internal probe was ttgcggtgcgagtcgtggaagtaa. Survivin was amplified by the following primer set: reverse: ggggacttctcagtcgtgt, forward: tggacttcttcattgccccga. The survivin internal probe was ttgcggtgcgagtcgtgg aagtaa.

PCR amplification condition were one cycle of 50°C, 2 min, and 95°C, 10 min followed by 50 cycles of 95°C, 15 sec and 60°C, 1 min. The measured value was calculated by comparative Ct methods [22] and GAPDH gene amplification was used as a control. All reactions were duplicated. The amounts of p53AIP1 and survivin mRNA were expressed as n-fold GAPDH mRNA and the levels were compared relative to adjacent normal lung tissues. A tumor/normal ratio of p53AIP1 and survivin mRNA expression greater than 1 was identified as a positive expression, and the others as negative.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was performed using Stat View J5.0 (SAS Institute Inc.). Different variables of tumors and normal tissues were analyzed with the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Overall survival was analyzed using the Kaplan-Meier method and evaluated by the log-rank test. Significant differences were considered at $p < 0.05$. The cutoff point was also $p < 0.05$ for univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard model analysis.

Results

p53AIP1 and survivin expression in primary non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) was evaluated by real-time RT-PCR. All 47 samples were studied with paired histopathologically normal lung tissues which were far from the tumor margin. Table 1 shows a correlation between the clinicopathological status and p53AIP1 and survivin gene expressions. Although no relationship between the p53AIP1 gene expression and variables (age, sex, smoking index (SI), tumor size, nodal status, histological type) was not found, the survivin gene expression-positive rates in

Table 1: Correlation between p53AIP1 or survivin expression and clinicopathological characteristics

Characteristics	All patients	p53AIP1 positive	p	Survivin positive	p
Age					
	<70	19	11	14	
	≥70	28	14	14	0.45
Sex					
	male	14	6	11	
	female	33	19	17	0.08
Smoking index					
	<400	19	10	13	
	≥400	28	15	15	0.31
Tumor					
	T1	27	16	18	
	T2	16	9	8	
	T3	4	0	2	0.52
Nodal status					
	N0	33	12	10	
	N1	14	5	9	0.03*
Histologic type					
	Ad	27	12	19	
	Sq	16	10	7	
	others	4	3	2	0.22

Ad, adenocarcinoma; Sq, squamous cell carcinoma

* statistically significant

the node metastasis-positive group were significantly higher than in the negative group ($p = 0.03$).

Figure 1 shows the overall survival curves by Kaplan-Meier analysis for patients with non-small cell lung cancer classified according to p53AIP1 expression (positive, tumor/normal ratio 1; negative, <1). Patients in the positive p53AIP1 expression group have a better prognosis than




Figure 1
Overall survival curves according to p53AIP1 gene expression. Differences are significant ($p = 0.04$). Number of patients in each group, positive, 22; negative, 25.

the negative expression group ($p = 0.04$). The median follow-up period was 5.4 years (1.2 to 8.4 years); however, the superiority of the survivin expression negative group to the positive group for overall survival was not significant (Figure 2). When we compared the prognosis according to the variable combination between p53AIP1 and survivin, the p53AIP1 (+) survivin (-) group had the best prognosis (Figure 3). In contrast, the p53AIP1 (-) survivin (+) group showed the worst prognosis and the other two




Figure 2
Overall survival curves according to survivin gene expression. Differences are not significant ($p = 0.36$). Number of patients in each group, positive, 28; negative, 19.




Figure 3
Overall survival curves according to the combination of p53AIP1 and survivin gene expression. Differences are statistically significant ($p = 0.04$). Number of patients in each group, p53AIP1 positive and survivin positive, 15; p53AIP1 positive and survivin negative, 9; p53AIP1 negative and survivin positive, 14; p53AIP1 negative and survivin negative, 9.

groups were intermediate. In univariate analysis using age, tumor size, lymph node metastasis, histological type, survivin expression, p53AIP1 expression, and the combination of p53AIP1 and survivin, p53AIP1 and the combination were statistically significant (Table 2).

In multivariate Cox proportional hazard model analysis, the combination ($p = 0.03$) was an independent predictor of overall survival (Table 2).

Discussion

The molecular mechanism of tumor progression and apoptosis is still unclear. Several predictors, such as nodal involvement, tumor stage, and survivin and p53 have been reported; however, the relationship between p53 or survivin and the prognosis of lung cancer patients is still controversial [2,23]. As we recently reported, p53AIP1 in primary non-small cell lung cancer has a potential role as a prognostic factor [9]. Additionally, the other report showed that truncating variants of P53AIP1 were associated with prostate cancer [12]. A recent report showed that p53AIP1 was directly regulated by not only p53 but p73 [24]. This might be supported by the result which did not show a correlation between p53 mutation and p53AIP1 expression [9], and it may be interesting to investigate the p73 expression with p53AIP1. The present study showed that p53AIP1 is not related to any clinicopathological factors, which is different from the report that p53AIP1 is closely related to nodal status in our previous study [9]. This might be due to different analysis methods, the fre-

quency or quantification of expression levels. Although univariate analysis showed that p53AIP1, a proapoptotic gene, is a good predictor of overall survival despite no correlation with several factors, multivariate analysis did not show this because of the limited sample size.

On the other hand, as previously reported, survivin-positive expression correlated with more aggressive behavior and poorer prognosis [13]. Meta-analysis of the role of survivin showed that positive survivin might be a prognostic factor [23]. In our study, survivin is significantly related to the nodal status, which may suggest that survivin plays the key role in lymph node metastasis, as in the previous report [25]; however, survivin was not a significant prognostic factor in the present study. It is difficult to estimate the prognostic value of survivin because the power of this study was low due to the limited sample size; therefore, we considered the combination of biomarkers to be more powerful to show the prognostic value of survivin and p53 AIP1.

The rationale was as follows, since p53 leads to the repression of survivin expression, and apoptotic cells induced by p53 caused resistance to apoptosis when survivin was overexpressed [21], p53 AIP1 might have an inverse effect against survivin in the same manner as p53. Furthermore, as the relationship between survivin and p53AIP1 has not been investigated, we hypothesized that the combination analysis of survivin with p53AIP1 can be a powerful tool for risk stratification. The combination of negative p53AIP1 and positive survivin showed the worst prognosis, leading to the speculation that these two genes act in an opposite manner and are critical for tumor progression. Multivariate analysis showed that the combination of these genes was an independent predictor of survival. Furthermore, p53AIP1 and survivin expressions in non-small cell lung cancer cells before chemotherapy may contribute as independent predictors of the effect of chemotherapy, such as DNA-damaging agents.

In conclusion, although the sample size was small, our study demonstrated that the combination of survivin with p53AIP1 gene expression in non-small cell lung cancer is a possible independent prognostic factor. Further investigation of these combinations might show the prognostic significance of these genes in non-small cell lung cancer.

Abbreviations

RT-PCR: reverse transcription-PCR.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Table 2: Clinicopathological factors and p53AIP1 or survivin expression for overall survival in univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis

Characteristics		Univariate analysis HR (95%CI)	p	Multivariate analysis HR (95%CI)	p
Age	<70	1	0.55		0.86
	≥70	1.34 (0.52–3.48)			
Tumor	T1	1	0.63		0.93
	T2	1.08 (0.14–8.58)			
	T3	1.72 (0.21–14.0)			
Nodal status	N0	1	0.47		0.89
	N1	1.46 (0.52–4.17)			
Histologic type	Ad	1	0.23		0.06
	Sq	0.41 (0.11–1.49)			
	others	0.28 (0.06–1.25)			
survivin	(+)	1	0.36		0.19
	(-)	0.62 (0.22–1.75)			
p53AIP1	(+)	1	0.04*		0.48
	(-)	2.67 (0.99–7.25)			
Combination			0.04*		0.03*
p53AIP1 (-) survivin (+)		1		1	
p53AIP1 (+) survivin (+)		0.31 (0.09–1.0)		0.21 (0.01–1.66)	
p53AIP1 (+) survivin (-)		0.12 (0.02–0.97)		0.01 (0.002–0.28)	
p53AIP1 (-) survivin (-)		0.46 (0.12–1.7)		0.01 (0.002–3.1)	

Ad, adenocarcinoma; Sq, squamous cell carcinoma

* statistically significant

Authors' contributions

Authors have made substantial contributions to conception and design (MC, MM, and SY), acquisition of data (KT and KA), analysis, interpretation of data, organizing study (SY), and supervision of research group (KK)

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by a grant for National Hospital Clinical Research from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan. We are grateful to Dr Yuji Onodera, BML Inc., for technical support and Ms. Yoko Miyanari, Department of Surgery II, Oita University Faculty of Medicine.

References

- Harris CC, Hollstein M: **Clinical implications of the p53 tumor-suppressor gene.** *N Engl J Med* 1993, **329**:1318-1327.
- Mitsudomi T, Hamajima N, Ogawa M, Takahashi T: **Prognostic significance of p53 alterations in patients with non-small cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2000, **6**:4055-4063.
- Steele RJ, Thompson AM, Hall PA, Lane DP: **The p53 tumour suppressor gene.** *Br J Surg* 1998, **85**:1460-1467.
- Pfeifer GP, Denissenko MF, Olivier M, Tretyakova N, Hecht SS, Hainaut P: **Tobacco smoke carcinogens, DNA damage and p53 mutations in smoking-associated cancers.** *Oncogene* 2002, **21**:7435-7451.
- Vogelstein B, Lane D, Levine AJ: **Surfing the p53 network.** *Nature* 2000, **408**:307-310.
- Sionov RV, Haupt Y: **The cellular response to p53: the decision between life and death.** *Oncogene* 1999, **18**:6145-6157.
- Asker C, Wiman KG, Selivanova G: **p53-induced apoptosis as a safeguard against cancer.** *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1999, **265**:1-6.
- Bates S, Vousden KH: **Mechanisms of p53-mediated apoptosis.** *Cell Mol Life Sci* 1999, **55**:28-37.
- Yamashita SI, Masuda Y, Yoshida N, Matsuzaki H, Kurizaki T, Haga Y, Ikei S, Miyawaki M, Kawano Y, Chuiyo M, Kawahara K: **p53AIP1 expression can be a prognostic marker in non-small cell lung cancer.** *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 2008, **20**(2):148-151.
- Oda K, Arakawa H, Tanaka T, Matsuda K, Tanikawa C, Mori T, Nishimori H, Tamai K, Tokino T, Nakamura Y, Taya Y: **p53AIP1, a potential mediator of p53-dependent apoptosis, and its regulation by Ser-46-phosphorylated p53.** *Cell* 2000, **102**:849-862.
- Matsuda K, Yoshida K, Taya Y, Nakamura K, Nakamura Y, Arakawa H: **p53AIP1 regulates the mitochondrial apoptotic pathway.** *Cancer Res* 2002, **62**:2883-2889.
- Wang X, Wang F, Taniguchi K, Seelan RS, Wang L, Zarfes KE, McDonnell SK, Qian C, Pan K, Lu Y, Shridhar V, Couch FJ, Tindall DJ, Beebe-Dimmer JL, Cooney KA, Isaacs WB, Jacobsen SJ, Schaid DJ, Thibodeau SN, Liu W: **Truncating variants in p53AIP1 disrupting DNA damage-induced apoptosis are associated with prostate cancer risk.** *Cancer Res* 2006, **66**:10302-10307.
- Altieri DC: **Validating survivin as a cancer therapeutic target.** *Nat Rev Cancer* 2003, **3**:46-54.
- Velculescu VE, Madden SL, Zhang L, Lash AE, Yu J, Rago C, Lal A, Wang CJ, Beaudry GA, Ciriello KM, Cook BP, Dufault MR, Ferguson AT, Gao Y, He TC, Hermeking H, Hiraldo SK, Hwang PM, Lopez MA, Luderer HF, Mathews B, Petroziello JM, Polyak K, Zawel L, Kinzler KW: **Analysis of human transcriptomes.** *Nat Genet* 1999, **32**:387-388.
- Kawasaki H, Altieri DC, Lu CD, Toyoda M, Tenjo T, Tanigawa N: **Inhibition of apoptosis by survivin predicts shorter survival rates in colorectal cancer.** *Cancer Res* 1998, **58**:5071-5074.

16. Monzo M, Rosell R, Felip E, Astudillo J, Sánchez JJ, Maestre J, Martín C, Font A, Barnadas A, Abad A: **A novel anti-apoptosis gene: Re-expression of survivin messenger RNA as a prognosis marker in non-small-cell lung cancers.** *J Clin Oncol* 1999, **17**:2100-2104.
17. Ikeguchi M, Hirooka Y, Kaibara N: **Quantitative analysis of apoptosis-related gene expression in hepatocellular carcinoma.** *Cancer* 2002, **95**:1938-1945.
18. Ikeguchi M, Kaibara N: **Survivin messenger RNA expression is a good prognostic biomarker for oesophageal carcinoma.** *Br J Cancer* 2002, **87**:883-887.
19. Rodel F, Hoffmann J, Grabenbauer GG, Papadopoulos T, Weiss C, Günther K, Schick C, Sauer R, Rödel C: **High survivin expression is associated with reduced apoptosis in rectal cancer and may predict disease-free survival after preoperative radiochemotherapy and surgical resection.** *Strahlenther Onkol* 2002, **178**:426-435.
20. Yamashita S, Masuda Y, Kurizaki T, Haga Y, Murayama T, Ikei S, Kamei M, Takeno S, Kawahara K: **Survivin expression predicts early recurrence in early-stage breast cancer.** *Anticancer Res* 2007, **27**:2803-2808.
21. Mirza A, McGuirk M, Hockenberry TN, Wu Q, Ashar H, Black S, Wen SF, Wang L, Kirschmeier P, Bishop WR, Nielsen LL, Pickett CB, Liu S: **Human survivin is negatively regulated by wild-type p53 and participates in p53-dependent apoptotic pathway.** *Oncogen* 2002, **21**:2613-2622.
22. Aarskog NK, Vedeler CA: **Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction. A new method that detects both the peripheral myelin protein 22 duplication in Charcot-Marie-Tooth type 1A disease and the peripheral myelin protein 22 deletion in hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies.** *Hum Genet* 2000, **107**:494-498.
23. Fan J, Wang L, Jiang GN, He WX, Ding JA: **The role of survivin on overall survival of non-small cell lung cancer, a meta-analysis of published literatures.** *Lung Cancer* 2008, **61**:91-96.
24. Costanzo A, Merlo P, Pediconi N, Fulco M, Sartorelli V, Cole PA, Fontemaggi G, Fanciulli M, Schiltz L, Blandino G, Balsano C, Levrero M: **DNA damage-dependent acetylation of p73 dictates the selective activation of apoptotic target genes.** *Mol Cell* 2002, **9**:175-86.
25. Akyürek N, Memis L, Ekinci O, Köktürk N, Öztürk C: **Survivin expression in pre-invasive lesions and non-small cell lung carcinoma.** *Virchows Arch* 2006, **449**:164-170.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

